arXiv:1702.04580v1 [astro-ph.SR] 15 Feb 2017

Masses of optical components and black holes in x-ray novae: the effects of components proximity.

Petrov [1*]V. S., Antokhina[1] E. A., Cherepashchuk[1] A. M.

[1]Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow M.V. Lomonosov State University

February 16, 2017

Abstract

An exact calculation of CaI λ6439.075 Å absorption profiles in the spectra of optical stars in low-mass X-ray binary systems with black holes (BH LMXBs) is carried out. We show that the approximation of a real Roche lobe filling star as disk with uniform local line profile and linear limb darkening law leads to overestimation of projected equatorial rotational velocity $V_{rot} \sin i$ and accordingly, underestimation of mass ratio $q = M_x/M_v$. Refined value of q does not affect the mass of a black hole, but the mass of an optical star has shrunk ~ 1.5 times. We present refined components masses in low-mass X-ray binaries with black holes. Companion masses in BH LMXBs are found in the mass range 0.1 - 1.6 $M_\odot$ with the peak at $M_v \simeq 0.35 M_\odot$. This finding poses additional problem for the standard evolutionary scenarios of BH LMXBs formation with a common envelope phase (CE). We also discuss the implications of these masses into the evolutionary history of the binary.

*patrokl@gmail.com

1 Introduction

The mass ratio $q = M_x/M_v$ is an fundamental parameter of the evolution of the low-mass X-ray binary system. The binary mass ratio $q = M_x/M_v$ (M_x is the mass of the black hole and M_v is the mass of optical star) is obtained by measuring the rotational velocity of the secondary star $V_{rot} \sin i$ (Wade & Horne, 1988). The procedure commonly used to measure of the low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) secondary star rotational broadening is to convolve its spectrum with a limb-darkened standard rotation profile (Collins & Truax, 1995). It used the observed line profiles for slowly rotating stars with close spectral types (reference stars) as profiles unbroadened by rotation. The spectra of the stars in the X-ray binaries and those of the single slowly rotating stars were obtained with the same spectral resolution. The rotational broadening of the spectra of the reference stars was modelled, assuming that these profiles would be the same in the absence of rotation, identifying the value of $V_{rot} \sin i$ for which the spectra of the single star and the star in the X-ray binary were in best agreement with χ^2 criterion. In this way, the rotational velocity $V_{rot} \sin i$ of the star in the binary system was found. This approach made it possible not to correct the influence of the instrumental function of the spectrograph. In the up to date catalogue of black hole transients BlackCat (Corral-Santana et al., 2016) authors shows the data about the rotational broadening of line profiles in the spectra of the optical components of X-ray binary systems/ obtaining by using classical rotational broadening model (Collins & Truax, 1995).

An exact calculation of CaI λ6439.075 Å absorption profiles in the spectra of optical stars in low mass X-ray binary systems is carried out Antokhina et al. (2017). They showed that the Full Width Half Maximum ($FWHM$) of CaI λ6439.075 Å absorption profiles in tidally deformed Roche model (Antokhina et al., 2005) is completely higher than the $FWHM$ of CaI λ6439.075 Å absorption profiles in classical rotational broadening model (Collins & Truax, 1995). It was shown that widely used approximation of optical star in LMXB as a disk with an uniform local profile and linear limb darkening law leads to overestimation of projected equatorial rotational velocity $V_{rot} \sin i$ and, accordingly, underestimation of mass ratio $q = M_x/M_v$. Antokhina et al. (2017) presented an equation that allows to convert the mass ratio q_{disk} obtained with the classical rotational broadening model to the mass ratio $q = M_x/M_v$ corresponding to Roche model (Antokhina et al., 2005).

There is a mismatch between modelled and observed distributions of optical stars and black holes masses in BH LMXBs (e.g. (Podsiadlowski et al., 2010)). For example, the BH mass spectrum is flat, with the gap in the mass range 2–5$M_{\odot}$ (Ozel et al., 2010; Farr et al., 2011; Petrov et al., 2014). Companion masses in BH LMXB are found in the mass range 0.1 - 1.6 $M_{\odot}$ with the peak at 0.6 $M_{\odot}$. It seems rather difficult to explain the existence of such systems with the standard common envelope evolutionary scenarios: ejection of massive common envelope of such low-mass star proves rather difficult.Wang et al. (2016) proposed that it is possible to form BH LMXBs with the standard CE scenario if most BHs are born through failed supernovae with progenitor mass $M < 28M_{\odot}$. But α - elements (O, Si, Mg e.t.c.) are found in atmospheres of at least two optical counterparts: GRO J1655-40 and SAX J1819.3-2525 (Israelian et al., 1999), so found evidence of the formation of the black holes in an explosive supernova (SN) event. In this paper we refined mass ratio q for 9 BH LMXBs listed in BlackCAT catalogue (Corral-Santana et al., 2016) using the approximation equation from Antokhina et al. (Antokhina et al., 2017). We assume that approximation equation based on CaI λ6439.075 Å absorption line profiles can also be applied to other lines that are not subject to strong Stark effects. The radial velocity curves of the optical stars in these systems differ from the radial velocity curves of their barycenters, due to the effects of tidal distortion, gravitational darkening, X-ray heating, etc. Hence, the mass functions $f_v(M)$ derived from these radial velocity curves will differ accordingly. We took into account the closeness of the components when determining masses of components using the K-correction method (Petrov et al., 2013). Refined value of q does not affect the mass of a black hole, but the mass of an optical star in most cases decreased up ~ 1.5 times.

2 Parameters of low mass X-ray binary systems containig black holes

The physical parameters of 9 BH LMXBs are listed in Table 1. The spectra of optical counterparts received with intermediate resolution spectroscopy. This spectra allow to estimate rotational broadening of absorption lines, the radial velocity semi amplitudes, and the orbital inclination combined with a light curves.

In the Table 1 $V_{rot} \sin i$ is the projected equatorial rotational velocity, K_v is the observed radial velocity semi-amplitude, $f_v(M)$ is the observed mass function, i is the orbital inclination (see (Lyutyi et al., 1973)).

Note, Shahbaz & Watson (2007) showed, that using relatively low-resolution spectroscopy can result in systemic uncertainties in the measured $V_{rot} \sin i$ values obtained classical rotational broadening method. The mass ratio $q = M_x/M_v$ we estimate with the following equation (Wade & Horne, 1988):

$$\frac{V_{rot} \sin i}{K_c} \simeq 0.462\, q^{-1/3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q}\right)^{2/3}. \qquad (1)$$

It is necessary to mention that the radial velocity semi-amplitude of the optical stars K_v are listed in Table 1 differ from the the radial velocity semi-amplitude of their barycenters K_c, due to the effects of tidal distortion, gravitational darkening, X-ray heating, etc. We took into account the closeness of the components using the K-correction method (Wade & Horne, 1988; Petrov et al., 2013). The mass of black hole M_x was determined using the equation:

$$M_x = \frac{f_v(M)\,(1 + q^{-1})^2}{\sin^3 i}, \qquad (2)$$

and the optical star mass is:

$$M_v = M_x/q.$$

Here $f_v(M) = 1.038 \cdot 10^{-7} K_v^3 P_{orb} (1 - e^2)^{3/2}$ is mass function, K_v is observed radial velocity semi-amplitude of the optical stars, e is the orbital eccentricity (for BH LMXBs $e = 0$), P_{orb} is the orbital period of the binary.

In the limit case where $q = M_x/M_v >> 1$ (this case corresponds to BH LMXB) mass ratio q is given by:

$$q \simeq \left(\frac{0.462 K_c}{V_{rot} \sin i}\right)^3. \qquad (3)$$

It follows from the expression (3) that small uncertainties in $V_{rot} \sin i$ lead to large uncertainties in q.

3 Refined black hole and optical star masses distribution

We use a method of mass ratio correction developed by Antokhina et al. (2017) to study the black hole and optical star masses. As mentioned above we assume that approximation equation based on CaI λ6439.075 Å absorption line profiles can also be applied to other lines that are not subject to strong Stark effects. For BH LMXB in quiescent the effect of X-ray heating is ignored: $k_x = L_x/L_v^{bol} = 0$ (the effect of heating by the X-ray radiation is described by Petrov et al. (2015)).

The method of mass ratio correction developed by Antokhina et al. (2017) provides a corrected value of the binary mass ratio through the expression:

$$q_{corr} = q_{disk} + \Delta q, \tag{4}$$

where the Δq correction is described by

$$\Delta q = (0.41 \pm 0.01) \cdot {q_{disk}}^{1.224 \pm 0.008}. \tag{5}$$

Antokhina et al. (2017) showed that equation (4) is accurate better than 5 % in effective temperature range 4000 K - 8000 K. We also took into account the closeness of the components when determining M_x. Hence, the mass functions $f_v(M)$ derived from observed radial velocity semi-amplitude of the optical stars will be changed with the following equation:

$$f_v^{corr}(M) = 1.038 \cdot 10^{-7} K_c^3 P_{orb}, \tag{6}$$

where K_c is the semi-amplitude of the radial velocity curve of the stellar barycenter. The K_c is given by $K_c = K_v/K_{corr}(q, i)$ where K_v is observed radial velocity semi-amplitude of the optical stars and K_{corr} is the K-correction. For each system in Table 1, the corresponding K-correction was chosen from Petrov et al. (2013, 2015). In next step, we corrected masses of optical stars: $M_v = M_x/q$.

Initial and refined masses of black holes and optical stars are listed in Table 2. We also present the refined distribution of the black hole masses in Fig. 1 and the refined distribution of the optical star masses in Fig 2. Refined value of q does not affect the mass of a black hole, but the mass of an optical star has in the most cases shrunk $\sim$ 1.5 times (see Table 2). The system GRO 1655-40 deserve special mention. The corrected black hole mass M_x has the bias due the K-correction using.

4 Discussion

We present the refined distribution of the donor masses in BH LMXBs with the peak at 0.35 $M_{\odot}$ (excluding the system GRO 1655-40). This distribution not only allow to estimate realistic binding energy of the common envelope λ, but also to bring several alternatives to the observations.

One of the alternative evolution scenario is that the secondaries in BH LMXBs enhanced the mass loss rate due to illumination of the stellar surface by the high energy radiation from the accretion disk around the BH during outburst (Arons, 1973; Basko & Sunyaev, 1973; Basko et al., 1974, 1977). This scenario produced a similar companion masses distribution with peak at $\sim 0.4\ M_{\odot}$ (Wiktorowicz et al., 2014).

In the irradiation-induced wind scenario the mass transfer is non conservative (Iben et al., 1995). The black hole in an LMXB typically accretes only about 10% of the mass lost by the donor. A coronal wind carries about 90% mass lost by the donor away. Thus the increase of black hole mass should be negligible. Apparently, coronal wind velocity not enough to blow out the mass of the system and matter can form a disk-shaped circumbinary envelope.

Spectroscopic observations confirm fast orbital decays of black hole X-ray binaries: XTE J1118+480 ($\dot{P} = -1.90 \pm 0.57$ ms/yr) and A0620-00 ($\dot{P} = -0.60 \pm 0.08$ ms/yr) (Gonzalez Hernandez et al., 2014). Angular momentum losses due to gravitational radiation and magnetic braking are unable to explain these large orbital decays in these two short-period black hole binaries. The fast spiral-in of the star in BH LMXB does not fit the rapid evaporation of stellar-mass black holes (Postnov & Cherepashchuk, 2003) in multi-dimensional models of gravity (Emparan et al., 2003) on the RS brane (Randall & Sundrum, 1999). Chen & Li (2015) showed that, for some reasonable parameters, tidal torque between the circumbinary disk and the binary can efficiently extract the orbital angular momentum from the binary. Observations have provided evidence that circumbinary disks around two compact black hole X-ray binaries may exist. Mino & Mauerhan (2006) have detected the blackbody spectrum of BHXBs A0620-00 and XTE J1118+480, and found that the inferred areas of mid-infrared 4.5-8 μm excess emission are about two times larger than the binary orbital separations. A detection with the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer identified that XTE J1118+480 and A0620-00 are candidate circumbinary disk systems (Wang & Wang, 2014).

The extremely low-mass optical counterparts of BH LMXBs (with masses

$M_v < 0.35\ M_\odot$) can result of evolution massive close binary accompanying at large distance by a G-K main sequence dwarf (Eggleton & Verbunt, 1986). After the evolution of the close binary into an ordinary X-ray binary, the compact object is engulfed by its expanding massive companion, and spirals in to settle at its centre. The resulting Thorne-Zytkow supergiant gradually expands until it attains the size of the main sequence dwarf orbit. Then a second spiral-in phase ensues, leading to the formation of a low-mass close binary. Tidal capture formation scenario, also can correspond to this refined distribution (Erez & Perets, 2016). In this formation channel, LMXBs are formed from wide binaries (> 1000 au) with a BH component and a stellar companion. Resulting optical mass distribution is this scenario peaking at $0.4 - 0.6\ M_\odot$. Note, that in this case the LMXBs orbit should not correlate with the spin of the BH.

5 Conclusions

We present refined binary masses in low-mass X-ray binaries with black holes. The refined masses of an optical stars have shrunk ~ 1.5 times. In this way the refined distribution of the donor masses in BH LMXBs has the peak at $0.35\ M_\odot$ ($\bar{M}_v = 0.31\ M_\odot$ without GRO 1655-40). This distribution is well described by irradiation-induced wind evolution scenario. Furthermore, The extremely low-mass optical counterparts of BH LMXBs ($M_v < 0.35\ M_\odot$) can result of evolution massive close binary accompanying at large distance by a G-K main sequence dwarf (Eggleton & Verbunt, 1986) or tidal capture formation scenario (Erez & Perets, 2016).

We thank Dmitry V. Bisikalo, Konstantin A. Postnov and Chris Belczynski for their useful comments.

References

Antokhina, E., Cherepashchuk, A., & Shimanskii, V. 2005, Astronomy Reports, 49, 109

Antokhina, E., Petrov, V., & Cherepashchuk, A. 2017, Astronomy Reports, 61, 1

Arons, J. 1973, ApJ, 184, 539

Basko, M., & Sunyaev, R. 1973, Astrophysics and Space Science, 23, 117

Basko, M., Sunyaev, R., Hatchett, S., & McCray, R. 1977, ApJ, 215, 276

Basko, M., Sunyaev, R., & Titarchuk, L. 1974, Astron. Astrophys., 31, 249

Calvelo, D., Vrtilek, S., Steeghs, D., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 399, 539

Casares, J., & Charles, P. 1994, MNRAS, 271, L5

Chen, W.-C., & Li, X.-D. 2015, Astron. Astrophys., 583, A108

Collins, G., & Truax, R. 1995, ApJ, 439, 860

Corral-Santana, J., Casares, J., Munoz-Darias, T., et al. 2016, Astron. Astrophys., 587, A61

Eggleton, P., & Verbunt, F. 1986, MNRAS, 220, 13P

Emparan, R., Garcia-Bellido, J., & Kaloper, N. 2003, J. High Energy Phys, 01, 079

Erez, M., & Perets, H. 2016, MNRAS, 458, 4188

Farr, W., Sravan, N., Cantrell, A., et al. 2011, ApJ, 741, 19

Filipenko, A., Leonard, D., Matheson, T., et al. 1999, PASP, 111, 969

Gonzalez Hernandez, J., Rebolo, R., & Casares, J. 2014, MNRAS, 438, L21

Harlaftis, E., Horne, K., & Filipenko, A. 1996, PASP, 458, 762

Iben, I., Tutukov, A., & Yungelson, L. 1995, Astrophysical Journal Supplement, 100, 233

Israelian, G., Rebolo, R., Basri, G., Casares, J., & Martin, E. 1999, Nature, 401, 142

Lyutyi, V., Syunyaev, R., & Cherepashchuk, A. 1973, Sov. Astronomy, 17, 1

Marsh, T., Robinson, E. L., & Wood, J. H. 1994, MNRAS, 266, 137

Mino, M., & Mauerhan, J. 2006, ApJ, 648, L135

Orosz, J., Bailyn, C., McClintock, J., & Remillard, R. 1996, ApJ, 468, 380

Ozel, F., Psaltis, D., Narayan, R., & McClintock, J. E. 2010, ApJ, 725, 1918

Petrov, V., Antokhina, E., & Cherepashchuk, A. 2013, Astronomy Reports, 57, 669

—. 2015, Astronomy Reports, 59, 346

Petrov, V., Cherepashchuk, A., & Antokhina, E. 2014, Astronomy Reports, 58, 113

Podsiadlowski, P., Ivanova, N., Justham, S., & Rappaport, S. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 840

Postnov, K., & Cherepashchuk, A. 2003, Astronomy Reports, 47, 989

Randall, L., & Sundrum, R. 1999, Physical Review Letters, 83, 4690

Shahbaz, T. 2003, MNRAS, 339, 1031

Shahbaz, T., & Watson, C. 2007, Astron. Astrophys., 474, 969

Steeghs, D., McClintock, J., Parsons, S., et al. 2013, ApJ, 768, 185

Wade, R., & Horne, K. 1988, ApJ, 324, 411

Wang, C., Jia, K., & Li, X.-D. 2016, arxiv:1601.02721, 1601.02721

Wang, X., & Wang, Z. 2014, ApJ, 788, 184

Webb, N., Naylor, T., Ioannou, Z., Charles, P., & Shahbaz, T. 2000, MNRAS, 317, 528

Wiktorowicz, G., Belczynski, K., & Maccarone, T. 2014, arxiv:1312.5924v2

Table 1: The physical parameters of 9 BH LMXB with surely estimated the rotational broadening of the secondary star $V_{rot} \sin i$ from BlackCAT catalogue (Corral-Santana et al., 2016)

System	$V_{rot} \sin i$, km/s	K_v, km/s	$f_v(M)$, $M_\odot$	$q = M_x/M_v$	i, deg.	Links
A0620-00	83 ± 5	437 ± 2	2.80 ± 0.01	16 ± 3	51 ± 1	1,2
GS 2023+338	39.1 ± 1.2	208.5 ± 0.7	6.08 ± 0.06	16.7 ± 1.4	$66 - 70$	3
GS 2000+251	86 ± 8	520 ± 5	5.01 ± 0.12	24 ± 7	$43 - 74$	4
GRO 1655-40	88 ± 5	226.1 ± 0.8	2.73 ± 0.09	3.0 ± 0.3	70 ± 2	5
XTE J1118+48	96^{+4}_{-11}	709 ± 1	6.28 ± 0.04	41^{+5}_{-16}	68 ± 2	6
GRS 1915+105	21 ± 4	126 ± 1	7.02 ± 0.17	23 ± 2	66 ± 2	7
GRS 1009-45	86 ± 5	475 ± 6	3.17 ± 0.12	18 ± 3	$37 - 80$	8
GRO J0422+32	90 ± 22	378 ± 16	1.19 ± 0.02	11 ± 6	45 ± 2	9
GRS 1124-68	106 ± 13	406 ± 7	3.01 ± 0.15	13 ± 1	54 ± 2	10

1- (Gonzalez Hernandez et al., 2014);2-(Marsh et al., 1994);3-(Casares & Charles, 1994);
4-(Harlaftis et al., 1996); 5-(Shahbaz, 2003);6-(Calvelo et al., 2009); 7-(Steeghs et al., 2013)
8- (Filipenko et al., 1999); 9-(Webb et al., 2000); 10-(Orosz et al., 1996)
Note: the observed radial velocity semi-amplitude K_v which allow to calculate observed mass function $f_v(M)$; The classical rotational broadening model was used for $V_{rot} \sin i$ determination

Table 2: Refined parameters of BH LMXBs

System	q_{corr}	K_c, km/s	$f_v^{corr}(M), M_\odot$	M_x, $M_\odot$	M_x^{corr}, $M_\odot$	M_v, $M_\odot$	M_v^{corr}, $M_\odot$
A0620-00	26 ± 5	438.4 ± 2.0	2.82 ± 0.036	6.7 ± 0.3	6.5 ± 0.3	0.4 ± 0.1	0.25 ± 0.1
GS 2023+338	26 ± 2	209.1 ± 0.7	6.14 ± 0.06	$8.2 - 8.9$	$7.9 - 8.6$	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1
GS 2000+251	39 ± 8	521 ± 5	5.06 ± 0.12	$6.1 - 17.1$	$6.0 - 16.7$	$0.2 \div 0.7$	$0.1 \div 0.4$
GRO 1655-40	3.9 ± 0.5	229.6 ± 2.4	3.29 ± 0.09	5.8 ± 0.4	6.0 ± 0.4	1.9 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.2
XTE J1118+48	73^{+10}_{-32}	710.2 ± 1.4	6.3 ± 0.1	8.2 ± 0.4	8.1 ± 0.4	0.20 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.04
GRS 1915+105	35 ± 13	126 ± 1	7.07 ± 0.17	10.1 ± 0.6	9.8 ± 0.6	0.4 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1
GRS 1009-45	30 ± 5	476 ± 4	3.20 ± 0.12	$3.7 - 16.2$	$3.6 - 15.7$	$0.2 \div 0.9$	$0.1 \div 0.5$
GRO J0422+32	14 ± 3	379 ± 16	1.20 ± 0.02	4.1 ± 0.6	3.9 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1
GRS 1124-68	21 ± 1	407.6 ± 2.0	3.04 ± 0.15	6.6 ± 0.5	6.3 ± 0.5	0.5 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1

Note: M_x is the black hole mass, M_v is the optical star mass defined with the classical rotational broadening.



Figure 1: The refined mass distribution of black holes (see table. 1)



Figure 2: The refined mass distribution of optical counterparts in BH LMXBs (see table. 1)